

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 10. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/733,507 12/10/2003 | | 12/10/2003 | Robert J. Steffan | AHP97254 D2 | 9206 |
| 25291 | 7590 | 05/06/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| WYETH | - ' | | MORRIS, PATRICIA L | | |
| | `LAW GRO RALDA FA | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | N, NJ 07 | | 1625 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/733,507 | STEFFAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Patricia L. Morris | 1625 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report of thirty and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABA | ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) 1 | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/ | drawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum. 2. Certified copies of the priority docum. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur. * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been received. | olication No eceived in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

The variations in R produce patentably distinct compounds capable of independent use.

This application has been found to contain more than one invention. Therefore, restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- The instances wherein R is 1,3-thiazole or 1,3oxazole, classified in class
 various subclasses.
- II. The instances wherein R is a 1,2- thiazole, classified in class 548, subclass 202+.
- III. The instances wherein R is a pyrazole, classified in class 548, subclass 373.1+.
- IV. The instances wherein R is a 1,3,4-thiadizole, classified in class 548, subclass 136+.
- V. The instances wherein R is benzothiazole, classified in class 548, subclass 179+.
- VI. The instances wherein R is naphto[2,1-d)thiazoles, classified in class 548, subclass 150.
- VII. Claims 24-27, drawn to multiple uses, classified in class 514, various subclasses.

These inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1625

These distinct inventions have acquired separate status in the art, will support separate patents, and will require different fields of search for the respective inventions. Accordingly, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is considered proper; 35 U.S.C. 121, 37 CFR 1.141; 37 CFR 1.142.

Inventions I-VI are drawn to patentably distinct compounds.

"A Markush-type claim is directed to "independent and distinct inventions", if two or more of its members are so unrelated and diverse that a prior art reference anticipating the claim with respect to one of the members would not render the claim obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103 with respect to the other member(s)". In re Weber, 198 USPQ 330, footnote 3.

A reference to a pyrazole here would not be a reference to a thiazole. When one writes out the entire compound, as a whole, one arrives at patentably distinct heterocyclic compounds, along the lines indicated in the Groups of the first page of this action.

Distinct, independent, heterocyclic nuclei.

Independent means the compound is capable of being utilized alone, not in combination with other compounds listed in the Markush expression; MPEP 802.01.

If the members are so diverse that they will support separate patents, *i.e.*, a reference for one would not constitute a reference for the other, then restriction is considered proper. MPEP 2173.05(h).

Inventions I-VI and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Art Unit: 1625

process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the products as claimed can be used in materially different processes as evidenced by applicants' own claims and specification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-23 and 28 will be examined to the extent readable on the elected compounds.

In, <u>In re Weber</u>, 198 USPQ 332, <u>In re Hengehold</u>, 169 USPQ 473, was noted for the proposition that as long as applicants have maintained the right (as they do here) to file the non-elected subject matter in divisional applications, then restriction is proper, as to that point.

Applicant may file the divisional subject matter noted in divisional applications.

If applicant wishes a generic expression of the elected invention the claims here need be amended to reflect that election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia L. Morris whose telephone number is (571) 272-0688. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patricia Moiris
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

plm

May 4, 2004